

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

CROGA INNOVATIONS LTD.,	§	
	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-cv-00065-JRG
	§	(LEAD CASE)
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendant.</i>	§	

---

CROGA INNOVATIONS LTD.,	§	
	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-cv-00206-JRG
	§	(MEMBER CASE)
FORTINET, INC.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendant.</i>	§	

---


CROGA INNOVATIONS LTD.,	§	
	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00208-JRG
	§	(MEMBER CASE)
PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendant.</i>	§	

**ORDER**

Before the Court is Plaintiff Croga Innovations Ltd.’s (“Plaintiff”) Unopposed Motion for Leave to Amend its Infringement Contentions (the “Motion”). (Dkt. No. 54.) In the Motion, Plaintiff moves to serve amended infringement contentions that add supplementation requested by Defendant Fortinet, Inc. (“Defendant”). (*Id.*) Plaintiff contends that good cause exists to amend its contentions. (*Id.*) Defendant does not oppose the Motion. (*Id.*)

Having considered the Motion, noting that it is unopposed and shows good cause, the Court finds that the Motion should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that Plaintiff has leave to amend its infringement contentions.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 25th day of July, 2024.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE